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Abstract—In the study rice husks and groundnut shells were hydrolysised with 3, 4 and 5% concentrations of dilute hydrochloric acid and the reducing sugar concentration was determined using the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) colorimetric method. The concentration of the bioethanol produced was determined using the potassium dichromate colorimetric method. The results revealed that there is no significance difference (p<0.05) in the yields of the reducing sugar obtained from the substrates at different treatment conditions (0.46mg/l from rice husks at 3%, 40°C for 30 minutes, 0.45mg/l from groundnut shells at 4%, 30°C for 25 minutes and 0.46mg/l from rice husks and groundnut shells at 5%, 30°C for 30 minutes). Similarly, the result revealed that both S. cerevisiae and Z. mobilis produced their highest bioethanol concentrations of 0.58% and 0.54% respectively from rice husks after 24 hours of fermentation while their combination produced its highest concentration (0.524%) after 72 hours. It is evidently clear that there despite the fact that there was no significance difference (p<0.05) in the bioethanol production of S. cerevisiae and Z. mobilis, S. cerevisiae proved to be a better choice in bioethanol production using these substrates that either Z. mobilis or their combination.

Index Terms—Bioethanol, groundnut shells, rice husks, production, utilization.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the World has witnessed a tremendous increase in the search and quest for an alternative energy source to replace the conventional fossil fuels. This was necessitated as a result of the finite nature of crude oil and other fossil fuels. Another reason was the immense contribution of these products to environmental degradation, environmental pollution and to cap it all their contribution in enhancing green house gas emission leading to depletion of the ozone layer with resultant increase in global warming [1]. One of the most attractive alternatives is bio-ethanol-alcohol produced from agricultural crops and residues. Initially, this promising alternative was produced from agricultural crops such as sugar cane, maize, millet, cassava etc. [2].

However, as a result of food-feed competition that resulted in global food scarcity in the mids 2010s, the focus was shifted to utilization of agricultural wastes that has no economic value to serve as substrate for bioethanol production. This would allow agricultural land to be used more efficiently and at the same time prevent competition with food supplies [3]. The objective of this research is to consider the possibility of utilizing rice husks and groundnut shells both of which are common agricultural wastes in this part of the world for bioethanol production.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Acid Hydrolysis of Raw Materials

This was carried out according to the method described by [4]. One hundred grams (100g) each of rice husks and groundnut shells were weighed into 2 litre capacity conical flasks. Then 1 litre of varying dilute hydrochloric acid (HCl) concentrations of 3.0 %, 4.0 % and 5.0 % were added into the conical flasks. The flasks were covered with cotton wool, wrapped in aluminium foil, heated in a water bath for 20, 25 and 30 minutes at 30 °C, 40 °C and 50 °C and then autoclaved for 15 minutes at 121 °C. The flasks were allowed to cool, filtered through No1 What man filter paper and the pH was adjusted to 4.5 with 0.4M NaOH.

B. Determination of Reducing Sugar

The reducing sugar content following hydrolysis of the agro wastes was determined using the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) colorimetric method [5] and the sample measured at 491 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV-1650pc, Shimadzu). The reducing sugar content was subsequently determined by making reference to a standard curve of known glucose concentrations.

C. Fermentation of the Hydrolysed Samples

The fermentation of the hydrolysed samples was carried out in accordance with the methods described by [6]. One hundred milliliters (100 ml) of the rice husks and groundnut shells hydrolysates were dispensed into two sets of twelve different 500 ml capacity conical flasks. The flasks were then covered with cotton wool, wrapped in aluminium foil and autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 minutes. The flasks were allowed to cool at room temperature and aseptically inoculated with 1ml suspension (6.0×102cfu/ml) of the fermentative organisms isolated in previous study as follows:

1) inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae
2) inoculated with Zymomonas mobilis
3) inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Zymomonas mobilis

All the flasks were incubated at 30 °C for 5 days.
D. Determination of Concentration of Bioethanol Produced

This was carried out using UV-VIS quantitative analysis of alcohols using chromium VI reagent according to the methods described by [7]. A quantity (1 ml) of standard ethanol was diluted with 100 ml of distilled water to give a concentration of 1 %. Then, each of 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 ml of the 1 % ethanol was diluted to 10 ml with distilled water to produce 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 % of the ethanol. To each of the varying ethanol concentrations 2 ml of chromium reagent was added and allowed to stand for an hour for colour development. The absorbance of each concentration was measured at 588 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV-1650pc, Shimadzu) and the readings used to developed standard curves. Then 5 ml of each bioethanol samples were put in test tubes and treated with 2 mls of the chromium reagent. The mixture was allowed to stand for an hour and the absorbance measured at 588 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (UV-1650pc, Shimadzu).

E. Statistical Analysis of Data

All the works experiments were conducted in triplicates. The data sets were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done using One-Way Analysis of Variance to test for the difference in means using the SPSS for Windows, version 15.0. (Chicago IL, USA). Graphs were plotted with Microsoft excelle.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

![Fig. 1. Reducing sugar yield of hydrolysates hydrolyzed with 3 % acid at 30, 40 and 50 ºC for 20, 25 and 30 minutes.](image)

![Fig. 2. Reducing sugar yield of hydrolysates hydrolyzed with 4 % acid at 30, 40 and 50 ºC for 20, 25 and 30 minutes.](image)

In the study, different HCl acid concentrations (3 %, 4 % and 5 %) were used to hydrolyzed rice husks and groundnut shells prior to fermentation. At 3 % concentration the highest yield of reducing sugar of 0.46 mg/l was obtained from rice husks at 40 ºC and 50 ºC for a period of 30 minutes (Fig. 1). But at 4 % concentration, a reducing sugar yield of 0.45 mg/l was obtained from groundnut shells at 30 ºC for 25 minutes (Fig. 2).

However, at 5 % concentration a high yield of 0.46 mg/l was obtained from rice husks and groundnut shells at 30 ºC for 20 minutes and 50 ºC for 30 minutes respectively (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 3. Reducing sugar yield of hydrolysates hydrolyzed with 5 % acid at 30, 40 and 50 ºC for 20, 25 and 30 minutes.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>Z. mobilis</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae + Z. mobilis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.351 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.457 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.524 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.469 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.487 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.445 ± 0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.351 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.422 ± 0.184</td>
<td>0.524 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.172 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.152 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.132 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.149 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.161 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.147 ± 0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.165 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.161 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.147 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.188 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.128 ± 0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD(0.05) 0.192 0.186 0.130

a, b, c means within a column with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)
Values are mean ± standard deviation of three replications

The results revealed that *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* produced its highest bioethanol concentration of 0.580±0.036% after 24 hours of fermentation. Similarly, *Zymomonas mobilis* produced its highest bioethanol concentration of 0.547±0.042% 24 hours of fermentation while the combination of the two organisms produced their highest yield of 0.524±0.011% after third day of fermentation (Table I) from rice husk hydrolysates. However, when groundnut shell hydrolysate was considered the results revealed that *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* produced its highest bioethanol concentration of 0.358±0.019% after 24 hours of fermentation. Similarly, *Zymomonas mobilis* produced its highest bioethanol concentration of 0.313±0.045% after fourth day of fermentation while the combination of the two organisms produced its highest yield of 0.283±0.01% after 24 hours of fermentation.
fermentation (Table II). The combination of S. cerevisiae and Z. mobilis produced the highest concentration of bioethanol from rice husk. Similarly, there was no significant difference (p<0.05) between the concentrations of bioethanol produced by the two organisms on the first, second and third day of fermentation. However, the concentration decreased significantly (p<0.05) throughout the remaining period of fermentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae</th>
<th>Z. mobilis</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae+Z. Mobilis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.358±0.019</td>
<td>0.290±0.0</td>
<td>0.283±0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.316±0.017</td>
<td>0.235±0.0</td>
<td>0.233±0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.300±0.017</td>
<td>0.233±0.0</td>
<td>0.226±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.283±0.108</td>
<td>0.313±0.04</td>
<td>0.207±0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.288±0.050</td>
<td>0.251±0.0</td>
<td>0.235±0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.260±0.284</td>
<td>0.246±0.04</td>
<td>0.204±0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.318±0.113</td>
<td>0.221±0.0</td>
<td>0.227±0.055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD 0.05 0.121 0.071 0.065

This may be attributable to the fact that as the organisms fermented the fermentation broth there is the production and accumulation of intermediate co-products that have a detrimental effect on the fermentative organisms and tend to inhibit or slow down their metabolic activity during the remaining period under study. Reference [8] reported that as toxic compounds such as lignin residues, acids and aldehydes accumulated in the fermentation medium the concentration of bioethanol tend to decrease. Also, as the fermentation period increases some quantity of bioethanol may be lost due to the volatility of ethanol. Similarly, the fermentative organisms’ inability to ferment pentoses more especially xylose which is the main component of hemicellulose fraction of lignocellulose may be another attributable factor for low bioethanol concentration. However, [9] reported the production of high concentration of bioethanol from molasses with the pH of fermentation medium adjusted to pH of 2.5-6. The result is also in agreement with that of [10] who reported that bioethanol yield was high (7.8 %) at pH 4 and that the range percentage of bioethanol production between pH 4 to 6 did not show the significant difference which only ranged from 7.43 to 7.8 % of ethanol production.
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