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Abstract - As one of the most promising propulsive systems in the future, the scramjet engine has drawn the attention of many researchers. The two-dimensional coupled implicit NS equations, the standard $k$-$\varepsilon$ turbulence model and the finite-rate/eddy-dissipation reaction model have been applied to numerically simulate the flow field of the hydrogen fueled scramjet combustor with a planer strut flame holder under two different working conditions, namely, cold flow and engine ignition. The obtained results show that the numerical method used in this paper is suitable to simulate the flow field of the scramjet combustor. The static pressure distribution along the top and bottom walls for the case under the condition of engine ignition is much higher than that for the case under the condition of cold flow. There are three clear pressure rises on the top and bottom walls of the scramjet combustor. The eddy generated in the strut acts as a flame holder in the combustor, and it can prolong the residence time of the mixture in the supersonic flow.

Index Terms—Flame holder, $k$-$\varepsilon$ model, Scramjet and Supersonic combustion.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Supersonic Combustion Ramjet (SCRAMJET) engine has been recognized as the most promising air breathing propulsion system for the hypersonic flight (Mach number above 5). In recent years, the research and development of scramjet engine has promoted the study of combustion in supersonic flows. Extensive research is being carried out over the world for realizing the scramjet technology with hydrogen fuel with significant attention focused on new generations of space launchers and global fast-reaction reconnaissance missions. However, application for the scramjet concept using high heat sink and hydrogen fuels offers significantly enhanced mission potential for future military tactical missiles. Scramjet being an air-breathing engine, the performance of the missile system based on the scramjet propulsion is envisaged to enhance the payload weight and missile range. Supersonic combustion ramjet engine for an air-breathing propulsion system has been realized and demonstrated by USA on ground and in flight. X-43 vehicle used hydrogen fuel. Hydrocarbon fuel scramjet engine is still under study and research.

Mixing, ignition and flame holding in combustor, ground test facilities and numerical simulation of Scramjet engine are the critical challenges in the development of scramjet engine.

A. Mixing, Ignition and flame holding in a scramjet combustor

Among the critical components of the scramjet engine, the combustor presents the most formidable problems. The complex phenomenon of supersonic combustion involves turbulent mixing, shock interaction and heat release in supersonic flow. The flow field within the combustor of scramjet engine is very complex and poses a considerable challenge in design and development of a supersonic combustor with an optimized geometry. Such combustor shall promote sufficient mixing of the fuel and air so that the desired chemical reaction and thus heat release can occur within the residence time of the fuel-air mixture. In order to accomplish this task, it requires a clear understanding of fuel injection processes and thorough knowledge of the processes governing supersonic mixing and combustion as well as the factors, which affects the losses within the combustor. The designer shall keep in mind the following goals namely,

- Good and rapid fuel air mixing
- Minimization of total pressure loss
- High combustion efficiency.

B. Scramjet Fuel Injection system

Due to the extremely short residence time of the air in supersonic combustors, an efficient (rapid and with small losses in total pressure) fuel/air mixing is hard to achieve. Nevertheless this is an important issue to keep the combustor length short and to reduce the skin friction drag. In supersonic flows a rapid fuel/air mixing additionally suffers from inherently low mixing rates due to compressibility effects at high convective Mach numbers [16, 17]. There are mainly two concepts for fuel injection in supersonic combustors:

Wall injectors, where hydrogen is injected through the wall [18–20] (normal or oblique to the main flow) or by ramps [21–23] mounted to the wall,

Strut injectors [16, 24–26], which are located at the channel axis and directly inject the fuel into the core of the air stream.

In some cases both types of injectors approach each other, e.g. if a ramp injector extends over most of the channel height [23]. A good near field mixing can be achieved by wall injection. On the other hand transverse injection systems cause a significant blockage of the flow resulting in irreversibility’s due to shock waves and thrust losses [27]. Another concern is that the penetration of the fuel jet may be
insufficient for real size combustors. In wall injectors no losses in total pressure if they are switched off. The last point is in contrast to ramp or strut injectors which may not be removed from the flow field if no hydrogen is injected. Moreover, the injected hydrogen usually acts as a coolant for the strut. Alternative to physical ramp injectors are aero ramps [28] which have a similar physical behavior but lower pressure losses [29]. Aero ramps are multi-hole transverse injectors which induce pairs of counter-rotating vortices to improve mixing and fuel penetration. If strut injectors are used, usually all or most of the fuel is injected in main flow direction. This is possible without the induction of strong shock waves. Moreover, additional momentum is added by parallel fuel injection increasing the engine thrust. This may become important at high flight Mach numbers (10–15) [30]. Due to the limited mixing capabilities of parallel high speed streams, techniques for mixing enhancement are required. This can be achieved either by the use of shock waves [31, 32] or by creation of stream wise vorticity. Stream wise vortices may be induced by favorable chosen strut geometry.

Hydrogen should be injected in such a way that a good mixing is achieved over a short length resulting in a homogeneous temperature distribution. Local temperature peaks have to be avoided as to keep dissociation losses and nitrogen oxides low. An important issue at low air static temperatures this may become a problem for axial combustion may be distinguished for strut injectors: the reaction zone expands to the center of flow field. K.M. Pandey and Siva Saktivel.T [15] worked on the topic of “Recent Advances in Scramjet Fuel Injection - A Review”, and their findings are – Fuel injection techniques into scramjet engines are a field that is still developing today. The fuel that is used by scramjets is usually either a liquid or a gas. The fuel and air need to be mixed to approximately stoichiometric proportions for efficient combustion to take place. The main problem of scramjet fuel injection is that the airflow is quite fast, meaning that there is minimal time for the fuel to mix with the air and ignite to produce thrust (essentially milliseconds). Hydrogen is the main fuel used for combustion. Hydrocarbons present more of a challenge compared to hydrogen due to the longer ignition delay and the requirement for more advanced mixing techniques. Enhancing the mixing, and thus reducing the combustor length, is an important aspect in designing scramjet engines. There are many techniques used today for fuel injection into scramjet engines.

Kyung Moo Kim et.al [2] worked on the topic of “Numerical study on supersonic combustion with cavity-based fuel injection”, and their findings are – When the wall angle of cavity increases, the combustion efficiency is improved, but total pressure loss increased. When the offset ratio of upper to downstream depth of the cavity increases, the combustion efficiency as well as the total pressure loss decreases. Yuan shengxue [3] worked on the topic of “supersonic combustion”, and his findings are – The calculation of deflagration in supersonic flow shows that the entropy increment and the total pressure loss of the combustion products may decrease with the increase of combustion velocity. The oblique detonation wave angle may not be controlled by the wedge angle under weak under driven solution conditions and be determined only by combustion velocity. Gruenig and F. Mayinger [4] worked on the topic of “Supersonic combustion of kerosene/h₂-mixtures in a model Scramjet combustor”, and their findings are – The necessary temperature level is partly achieved by the oblique shock waves in the supersonic flow with increasing combustor area ratio. K. Kumaran and V. Babu [5] worked on the topic of “Investigation of the effect of chemistry models on the numerical predictions of the supersonic combustion of hydrogen”, and their findings are – Multi step chemistry predicts higher and wider spread heat release than what is predicted by single step chemistry. The single step chemistry model is capable of predicting the overall performance parameters with considerably less computational cost. A better tradeoff between thrust augmentation and combustion efficiency can be achieved through staged combustion.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Shigeru Aso et.al [1] worked on the topic of “Fundamental study of supersonic combustion in pure air flow with use of shock tunnel”, and their findings are – The increase of injection pressure generated strong bow shock, resulting in the pressure loses. The shock generator is an effective method to accelerate the combustion. The increase of the injection total pressure raises the penetration of fuel; thus, the reaction zone expands to the center of flow field.
to $>>10$ ms. At low Mach number and static temperatures but at these conditions combustion results in free subsonic regions with very high turbulence. Chemical initiators such as silane, fluorine and OTTO can be used but there are penalties in specific impulse, system complexity and handling hazards. G. Yu, J.G. Li, J.R. Zhao, et al. [7] worked on the topic of “An experimental study of kerosene combustion in a supersonic model combustor using effervescent atomization”, and their findings are – The smaller kerosene droplet having higher combustion efficiency. A local high temperature radical pool in the cavity is crucial in promoting the initiation and the subsequent flame holding of the kerosene combustion in a supersonic combustor. A. R. Srikrishnan and J. Kurian et.al. [8] worked on the topic of “An Experimental Investigation of Thermal Mixing and Combustion in Supersonic Flows”, and their findings are – A petal nozzle can achieve nearly uniform temperature and momentum fields by using mixing duct. The petal nozzle also results in better combustion, when it is used to inject the fuel-rich gases into a supersonic combustor. Temperature and pressure rises were measured and the supersonic combustion efficiency was found to be of the order of 60%. The performance of a conventional conical nozzle was found to be much inferior to that of the petal nozzle under identical conditions. M Deepu [9] worked on the topic of “Recent Advances in Experimental and Numerical Analysis of Scramjet Combustor Flow Fields”, and his findings are – Increase in jet to free stream momentum flux ratio will result in the increase of jet penetration to free stream for all kinds of jets. Injector orientation plays an important role in the strength of the bow shock, with the shocks created by oblique injector being substantially weak compared to transverse injector. S. Zakrzewski and Milton [10] worked on the topic of “Supersonic liquid fuel jets injected into quiescent air”, and their findings are – Supersonic liquid jets $M = 1.8$ develops from a flat front to a rounded bow within some 10 mm $M = 5.2$, the bow shape is more pointed and shows signs of an oscillation from more to less pointed.

III. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The advantage of employing the complete Navier-Stokes equations extends not only to the investigations that can be carried out on a wide range of flight conditions and geometries, but also in the process the location of shock wave, as well as the physical characteristics of the shock layer, can be precisely determined. We begin by describing the three-dimensional forms of the Navier-Stokes equations below. Note that the two-dimensional forms are just simplification of the governing equations in the three dimensions by the omission of the component variables in one of the co-ordinate directions. Neglecting the presence of body forces and volumetric heating, the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations are derived as

IV. DLR SCRAMJET COMBUSTOR AND COMPUTATIONAL SETUP DETAILS

In this analysis we are taking same DLR scramjet combustor but strut injection dimension and way of injection is changed from experimental work. A schematic of the DLR (German Aerospace centre) scramjet experimental facility, [11–14], is presented in Fig. 1. Preheated air is expanded through a Laval nozzle and enters the combustor section at $Ma = 2.0$. The combustor has a width of 40 mm and a height of 50 mm at the entrance and a divergence angle of the upper channel wall of three degrees to compensate for the expansion of the boundary layer. A planer wedge shaped strut is placed in the combustion chamber downstream of the nozzle. Just downstream of the nozzle the height of the 32 mm long strut is 0.295 mm. along the first 100 mm downstream of the nozzle, the side walls and the upper wall are made from quartz glass to allow optical access and to minimize the reflection of scattered light on the wall opposite the observation window. Hydrogen ($H_2$) is injected at $Ma = 1.0$ through a planer strut injector with diameter of 0.295 mm, in the strut base. Typical mass flows in the experiments were varied between 1.0 and 1.5 kg/s for the air and between 1.5 and 4.0 g/s for $H_2$, which correspond to equivalence ratios between 0.034 and 0.136, respectively. The hydrogen is injected at ambient temperature and pressure, i.e. at $T = 250$ K and $p = 10^5$ Pa, whereas the air was injected at $T = 340$ K and $p = 10^5$ Pa.
A. Gambit modelling of 3D Combustion Chamber and Planer Strut Injector

In the computational configuration same DLR scramjet combustor, strut height are taken and length of the struts are same as experimental one but the injecting the fuel is changed from circular injection to planer injection and operating conditions are same as Experimental one.

![Figure- 2, 3D gambit modelling of DLR Scramjet Combustion Chamber and planer strut injector](image)

B. 3D Planer strut injector

A wedge-shaped strut is placed in the combustion chamber downstream of the nozzle. The height of the 32 mm long strut is 0.295 mm and mass flow rate of strut is 1.5 to 4.0g/s.

![Figure- 3, 3D wedge shaped planer strut injector](image)

V. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

In the present study three different types of boundaries are applied: inflow, outflow and fixed walls. The flow fields under consideration here are supersonic. According to the theory of characteristics all variables are prescribed at inflow boundaries, i.e. Dirichlet boundary conditions, and Neumann boundary conditions are used for all variables at outflow boundaries. At fixed walls the no slip condition are applied. All computations are initialized with the state of the incoming air.

A. Approximations and Idealizations

- The flow is considered to be in steady state
- The gas is compressible, obeying the ideal gas laws.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Hydrogen injection without combustion

In this section the cold flow (mixing) without combustion is investigated using k-ε model. Inert H₂ injection adds significant complexity to the flow in the scramjet combustor since H₂ has a considerably lower molar mass than air, which makes mixing an important process in establishing the conditions for scramjet combustion. Figure 4 shows the grid after several adoptions and the computed density distribution.

![Figure 4 density distribution](image)

With inert H₂ injection, oblique shocks are formed at the tip of the wedge that is later reflected by the upper and lower walls. At the upper and lower walls, the boundary layer is affected, at least locally, by the reflected oblique shocks. The boundary layer on the wedge surface separates at the base and a shear layer is formed. This shear layer is naturally unstable and is therefore prone to break-up and develops into Kelvin–Helmholtz (KH) structures and because of the one-sided divergent channel the upper reflecting shock hits the H₂ filled wake further downstream than the lower shock, causing an asymmetric flow field through which the KH modes are amplified. It is important to notice that compressibility leads to reduced shear layer growth, affecting

### TABLE I: INFLOW CONDITIONS OF THE AIR STREAM AND THE HYDROGEN JET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>H₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u (m/s)</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (Pa)</td>
<td>101325</td>
<td>101325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂ν</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₁ν,0</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₁ν</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flow rate(kg/s)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0015 to 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the formation and subsequent break-up of large coherent structures. In addition, the reflected shock waves are deflected by the hydrogen jets. After some distance the flow in the wake of the wedge is accelerated back to supersonic speed and the subsequent shocks pass through the accelerating wake.

B. Hydrogen injection with combustion

Mixing of fuel and air is described in the previous section, it forms a combustible mixture, which is ignited, leading in turn to the combustion of the fuel. The exothermicity resulting from the chemical reactions will likely alter the flow field due to density and temperature changes. Figure 5 shows the combustion by means of a velocity, blue streamlines representing the hydrogen injection and pressure gradients for the shocks.

Due to combustion the recirculation region behind the wedge becomes larger as compared to mixing case and it acts as a flame holder for the hydrogen diffusion flame. It is also evident from the above image that the combustion affects the flow field significantly. The leading edge shock reflected off the upper and lower combustor walls facilitates the on setting of combustion when it hits the wake in a region where large portions of the injected fuel have been mixed up with the air. After its first encounter with the flame the leading edge shock is drastically weakened and the characteristic shock wave pattern of the cold flow cases is almost gone. The recompression shocks at the upper and lower wedge corners become much weaker than mixing Case. The shear layers at the base of the wedge becomes more pronounced with combustion due to the fact that continuous ignition occurs within these shear layers. Ignition in turn, is made possible by the rapid advection mixing of fuel and air in the shear layers between the wake and the free stream air. Figure 6 shows the shear layer formation in the combustor.

1. Static pressure

The static pressure variation in the combustor showed in figure 7, 8 and 9. From the figure 7 it is clear that at the entrance static pressure is 1bar, it is increased to 1.7 bar at the centre of the combustor, it is due to reflection of shockwaves after some distances it came to 1.04bar.figure 17 shows the variation of pressure in the top and bottom side of the combustion chamber, it is clear that upto the distance of 0.77m the pressure is constant as a given pressure, after that it is increased to 2.21 bar in bottom wall and 2.01bar in top wall. Figure 18 shows the pressure variation in the interior of the combustor and over the strut.

2. Static Temperature

The static temperature variation in the combustor given in figure 10,11and12. Figure 10 shows that temperature is constant upto 109mm in x direction, after that it is increasing gradually to maximum of 2870k at the point of x=245mm. Figure 11 shows the temperature variation in the top and bottom side of the combustion chamber, at the top wall maximum temperature is 590k at the bottom side it is maximum of 540k and figure 12 shows the temperature variation in the interior of the combustor.
VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, in order to investigate the flame holding mechanism of the planer strut in supersonic flow, the two-dimensional coupled implicit RANS equations, the standard k-ε turbulence model and the finite-rate/eddy-dissipation reaction model are introduced to simulate the flow field of the hydrogen fueled scramjet combustor with a strut flame holder under different conditions, namely the cold flow and the engine ignition. We observe the following:

- The numerical method employed in this paper can be used to accurately investigate the flow field of the scramjet combustor with planer strut flame holder, and capture the shock wave system reasonably.
- The static pressure of the case under the engine ignition condition is much higher than that of the case under the cold flow condition due to the intense combustion process.
There are three obvious pressure rises on the top and bottom walls of the scramjet combustor because of the impingement of the reflected shock wave or the expansion wave on the walls. This illustrates that there exists the complex shock wave/shock wave interaction and the separation due to the interaction of the boundary layer and the oblique shock wave.
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